

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,603	08/22/2003	Rodger Graham	03-12265	9804	
25189	7590 09/02/2004		EXAMINER		
CISLO & THOMAS, LLP			LUGO, C	LUGO, CARLOS	
233 WILSHII SUITE 900	RE BLVD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SANTA MONICA, CA 90401-1211			3676		
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ā			<b>M</b>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/646,603	GRAHAM ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carlos Lugo	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repict places the application.	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of	•	•				
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data.	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the distance of the distance of the corresponding amount of the	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s): <u>none</u> .					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>20-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/646,603

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the fact that claim 21 now positevely recites that the push button rotates requires further search and/or consideration. Also, the applicant present additional claims (38-41) without canceling a corresponding number of FINALLY rejected claims.

Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed amendment do not overcomes the double patenting rejection stated in the last office action. the limitations of claim 21 can be found in claims 1,3,5 and 6..